

\$DACP  
#3

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Patrick A. Worfolk et al.  
 Application No.: 10 / 056,178 Group No.: 2662  
 Filed: January 22, 2002 Examiner:  
 For: MULTI-PATH DYNAMIC ROUTING ALGORITHM

Box DAC

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTENTION: Petition Information  
 Crystal Park One, Suite 520  
 (M.P.E.P. § 1002.02(b), 7th ed.)

RECEIVED

AUG 21 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION  
FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER  
37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)**

**NOTE:** "In a design application, a utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or a plant application filed before June 8, 1995, any petition to revive pursuant to this section must be accompanied by a terminal disclaimer and fee as set forth in § 1.321 dedicating to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted thereon equivalent to the period of abandonment of the application. Any terminal disclaimer pursuant to this paragraph must also apply to any patent granted on any continuing application that contains a specific reference under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) to the application for which revival is sought. The provisions of this paragraph do not apply to lapsed patents." 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).

**NOTE:** In accordance with the Notice of November 5, 1990 (1121 O.G. 6), an application abandoned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d) for failure to timely provide the appropriate filing fee, oath or declaration and/or surcharge may be revived under the procedure of 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b).

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\***

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;  
 Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

**MAILING**

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 \*

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. \_\_\_\_\_ (mandatory)

**TRANSMISSION**

facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) \_\_\_\_\_

Merle P. Garcia

Signature

Merle P. Garcia

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

10/26/2002 AMOUNT: 10056178 110590  
120.00 CH

10/26/2002 AMOUNT: 10056178 110590  
120.00 CH

1. This application became abandoned on 04/28/2002

NOTE: Extensions under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 are available only if asked for "prior to or with the response." Accordingly, if the question of abandonment arises when the provisions of § 1.136 can no longer be used, then the application is abandoned when the unextended time for response expired. Therefore, no extension fees are due on a petition for revival. Thus: An application which is abandoned for failure to respond within a set period with no extension fee having been paid, would not require the payment of extension fees as a condition for revival. M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c), 6th ed., rev. 2.



COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED

2. This application became abandoned because the failure to prosecute was an unintentional delay. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date until the filing of this petition was unintentional 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3).

3. Response or action required

- has been filed.  
 is attached.

(complete the following, if applicable)

NOTE: The PTO accepts the filing of a continuing application as a response under 37 C.F.R. 1.137. To facilitate processing in such a case, the petition to revive should specifically refer to the filing of a continuing application and also include an express abandonment of the prior application conditioned upon the granting of the petition and the granting of a filing date to the continuing application. Notice of May 13, 1983, (1031 O.G. 11-12). See also M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c), 6th ed., rev. 2. "In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof." 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(1).

- The response is the filing of a continuation application having an express abandonment conditioned on the granting of a filing date to the continuing application copending with this application.

4. Fee (37 C.F.R. 1.17(m))

Application status is:

- Small business entity—fee \$640.00  
 A statement is attached.  
 A statement was filed.  
 Other than small entity—fee \$1,280.00

5. Payment of fee

- Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of  
 \$620.00.  \$1,240.00 1,280.00  
 to Deposit Account No. 19-0590  
 to Credit Card as shown on the attached credit information authorization form PTO-2038.

A duplicate of this petition is attached.

**WARNING:** Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.

(Petition for Revival of Unintentionally Abandoned Application [11-3]—page 2 of 3)

RECEIVED

AUG 21 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

(complete the following, if applicable)

- Because this petition is more than 3 months after the date the Office first notified the applicant that the application is abandoned, applicant additionally submits a showing as to how the delay between the date the applicant was first notified that the application is abandoned and the filing of this petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.134(b) was unintentional. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, 53,159 (Oct. 10, 1997).
- Because this petition is more than 1 year after the date of abandonment of the application, applicant additionally submits further information as to when applicant (or applicant's representative) first became aware of the abandonment of the application, and a showing as to how the delay in discovering the abandoned status of the application occurred despite the exercise of due care or diligence on the part of the applicant (or applicant's representative). 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, 53,159 (Oct. 10, 1997).

NO NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT HAS BEEN RECEIVED.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of person making statement  
that abandonment was due to an unintentional delay

\_\_\_\_\_  
(type or print name of person making statement)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Residence of person making statement



\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas Schneck

\_\_\_\_\_  
(type or print name of practitioner)

Reg. No.: 24,518

Tel. No.: ( 408 ) 297-9733

Customer No.: 003897

\_\_\_\_\_  
P.O. Box 2-E

\_\_\_\_\_  
P.O. Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
San Jose, CA 95109-0005

(Petition for Revival of Unintentionally Abandoned Application [11-3]—page 3 of 3)

RECEIVED  
AUG 21 2002  
OFFICE OF PETITIONS